UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No.

٧.

CITY OF GARY, INDIANA,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, the United States of America, alleges:

- 1. This action is brought on behalf of the United States to enforce the provisions of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq. ("Title VII").
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction of the action under 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f), 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1345.
- 3. Defendant, City of Gary ("City" or "Defendant"), is a political subdivision of the State of Indiana created pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana.
- 4. The City is a "person" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(a) and an "employer" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(b).
- 5. In or around February 2006, the City created a hiring list of 25 applicants for Emergency Medical Technician ("EMT") positions. The hiring list stated that offers of employment would be made in rank order until its expiration on February 1, 2007. Included on

the hiring list were applicants James Meinart, Richard Hurst, Timothy Sierazy, Nathan Bogner, Christopher Ferrand and Tina Bruks (collectively the "Charging Parties").

- 6. On or around October 10, 2006, the City offered employment as EMTs to six of the applicants on the EMT hiring list, all of whom are black. These applicants were ranked 1st, 5th, 9th, 10th, 11th, and 12th on the hiring list. All six accepted employment with the City.
- 7. The City did not make offers of employment as EMTs to any of the Charging Parties, who ranked 2nd (James Meinart), 3rd (Richard Hurst), 4th (Timothy Sierazy), 6th (Nathan Bogner), 7th (Christopher Ferrand) and 8th (Tina Bruks) on the hiring list, all of whom are white. All of the Charging Parties ranked higher than the lowest-ranked applicant to receive an offer of employment as an EMT with the City.
- 8. In or around November 2006, the City offered employment as an EMT to a seventh individual (black) who was not on the hiring list. This person also accepted employment as an EMT with the City.
- 9. None of the Charging Parties received an offer of employment as an EMT from the City before the EMT hiring list created by the City in or around February 2006 expired on February 1, 2007; nor did any of the Charging Parties receive an offer of employment as an EMT from the City at any time thereafter.
- 10. The City has discriminated against the Charging Parties on the basis of their race (white), in violation of Section 703(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a), by failing or refusing to offer employment as an EMT to each of these individuals.

- The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") received timely charges (Charge Nos. 470-2007-00772 (James Meinart), 470-2007-01286 (Richard Hurst), 470-2007-01285 (Timothy Sierazy), 470-2007-01287 (Nathan Bogner), 470-2007-00616 (Christopher Ferrand) and 470-2007-00627 (Tina Bruks)) filed by the Charging Parties against the City Fire Department.
- 12. The Charging Parties alleged that they had been discriminated against on the basis of their race (white) when they were not offered employment as EMTs by the City.
- 13. Pursuant to Section 706 of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5, the EEOC investigated the charges, found that the evidence supported the Charging Parties' allegations, and found reasonable cause to believe that the Charging Parties were discriminated against in violation of Title VII.
- 14. The EEOC attempted unsuccessfully to conciliate a voluntary resolution of the charges, and subsequently referred the matter to the Department of Justice.
- 15. All conditions precedent to the filing of suit have been performed or have occurred.

WHEREFORE, the United States prays that the Court grant the following relief:

- (a) Enter an order enjoining the City of Gary, its officers, agents, employees, successors and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from engaging in discriminatory employment policies and practices against white EMTs and applicants for EMT positions based on their race;
- (b) Enter an order enjoining the City of Gary from failing or refusing to provide remedial relief sufficient to make whole the Charging Parties for the individual

- losses they have suffered as a result of the discrimination against them as alleged in this Complaint;
- Take other appropriate nondiscriminatory measures to overcome the effects of the (c) discrimination; and
- Award such additional relief as justice may require, together with the United (d) States' costs and disbursements in this action.

GRACE CHUNG BECKER Acting Assistant Attorney General Civil Rights Division

By:

M. GADZICHOWSKI, WI Bar 1014294

Employment Litigation Section

WILLIAM B. FENTON, DC Bar 414990

Deputy Chief

BRIAN G. McENTIRE, VA Bar 48552

Senior Trial Attorney

U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Rights Division

Employment Litigation Section

Room 4908, PHB

950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW

Washington, DC 20530

Telephone: (202) 305-1470

Facsimile: (202) 514-1005

Email: brian.mcentire@usdoj.gov

DAVID CAPP

United States Attorney

SHARON J. JOHNSON
Assistant United States Attorney
Northern District of Indiana

5400 Federal Plaza, Suite 1500

Hammond, IN 46320

Telephone: (219) 937-5681 Facsimile: (219) 852-2770

Attorneys for the United States of America

Moore, Lisa (CRT)

From:

Moore, Lisa (CRT)

Sent:

Monday, January 12, 2009 2:43 PM

To:

Gardner, Leslie (CRT)

Cc:

Ruiz, Hector (CRT)

Subject: RE: EEOC Charges City of Dallas

The system is finally up. I should have something for you tomorrow.

Lisa

From: Gardner, Leslie (CRT)

Sent: Monday, January 05, 2009 2:41 PM

To: Moore, Lisa (CRT) **Cc:** Ruiz, Hector (CRT)

Subject: Re: EEOC Charges City of Dallas

Yes, thank you.

From: Moore, Lisa (CRT) **To**: Gardner, Leslie (CRT)

Sent: Mon Jan 05 14:39:23 2009 **Subject**: EEOC Charges City of Dallas

Leslie,

I just learned that the system is down until further notice. I will check back tomorrow if I don't hear anything more by cob today. Just to confirm, you're interested in seeing eeoc charges on the basis of race for the City of Dallas, TX within the past five years.

Lisa

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern	District of	Indiana
United States of America		
•	SUMMO	NS IN A CIVIL ACTION
v.		
City of Gary, Indiana		
	CASE NUMBER:	2 09CV 006
TO: (Name and address of Defendant)		
Jerome M. Taylor		
Deputy City Attorney 401 Broadway, Fourth Floor		
Gary, Indiana 46402		
	•	
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and req	uired to serve on PLAINTIFI	F'S ATTORNEY (name and address)
Sharon J. Johnson Assistant United States Attorne	V	
Northern District of Indiana 5400 Federal Plaza, Suite 1500	-	
Hammond, Indiana 46320	•	
an answer to the complaint which is served on you w		days after servic
of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answ		
Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of tim		and the property of them will fi
		,
Stephen R. Ludwig, Clerk	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Stephen R. Ludwig, Clerk	1/10	2/09
CLERK / D / · /	DATE	/
- De Tarleck		
By DEPUTY CLERK		

R	ETURN OF SERVICE	
.,	DATE	
crvice of the Summons and complaint was made by me ⁽¹⁾		
ME OF SERVER (PRINT)	TITLE	
Check one box below to indicate appropriate methoa	l of service	
☐ Served personally upon the defendant. Place v	vhere served:	
Left copies thereof at the defendant's dwelling discretion then residing therein.	house or usual place of abode with a person	of suitable age and
Name of person with whom the summons and	complaint were left:	•
☐ Returned unexecuted:	•	,
	,	
		e de la companya de l
Other (specify):		
STAT	EMENT OF SERVICE FEES	<u> </u>
VEL SERVICES		
VED DERVICES.		TOTAL SO DO
DEC	CLARATION OF SERVER the laws of the United States of America that	\$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury under contained in the Return of Service and Statement executed on	the laws of the United States of America that of Service Fees is true and correct.	\$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury under contained in the Return of Service and Statement executed on	the laws of the United States of America that	\$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury under contained in the Return of Service and Statement executed on	the laws of the United States of America that of Service Fees is true and correct.	\$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury under contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Executed on	the laws of the United States of America that of Service Fees is true and correct.	\$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury under contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Executed on	the laws of the United States of America that of Service Fees is true and correct.	\$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury under contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Executed on	the laws of the United States of America that of Service Fees is true and correct.	\$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury under contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Executed on	the laws of the United States of America that of Service Fees is true and correct.	\$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury under contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Executed on	the laws of the United States of America that of Service Fees is true and correct.	\$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury under contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Executed on	the laws of the United States of America that of Service Fees is true and correct. nance of Server	\$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury under contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Executed on	the laws of the United States of America that of Service Fees is true and correct. manure of Server	\$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury under contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Executed on	the laws of the United States of America that of Service Fees is true and correct. manure of Server	\$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury under contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Executed on	the laws of the United States of America that of Service Fees is true and correct. manure of Server	\$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury under contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Executed on	the laws of the United States of America that of Service Fees is true and correct. manure of Server	\$0.00

⁽¹⁾ As to who may serve a summons see Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

CIVIL COVER SHEET

2 09CV 006

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

are er in department, (DDD)	HOLINGE HEND ON THE PARTY	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		:						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDAN'	TS					
United States of America (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			City of Gary, Indiana							
			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nam	e, Address, and Telephone Numbe	er)		Attorneys (If Kno	wn)					
Sharon Johnson, Brian	•		Jnited	Hamilton Car	mou	che				
States Attorney's Office			+					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP O For Diversity Cases Of		UNCIP,	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in and One Box i		
X 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Vet a Partý)	Citize	n of This State	PTI		Incorporated or Proof Business In Th		PTF O 4	der O 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government	4 Diversity		Citize	n of Another State	0:	2 (7 2			D 3	□ 5·
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n or Subject of a	.	3 🗀 3	of Business In Foreign Nation	Another State	13 6	□.6
		<u>.</u>		sign Country			T Overga Praction			
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box On		ıñ ⊪ RO	RECHURE/PENAL	Y I	BA	YKRUPTCY	OTHER	LSTATUT	ES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreciosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejechnent ☐ 244 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 555 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CTVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	PERSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury- Med. Malpractice Product Liability Sass Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud Truth in Lending Bass Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 530 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION 510 Metions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 555 Prison Condition	Y	Agriculture Other Food & Drug Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8 Liquor Laws Liquor Laws O R.R. & Truck Airline Regs. Occupational Safety/Health Other Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Mgmt. Relation Labor/Mgmt. Reportin & Disclosure Act Other Labor Litigatio Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act EMMIGRATION Naturalization Applic Habasa Corpus Adien Detainee Other Immigration Actions	(((((((((((((((((((### 422-App ### 423-With ### 28-U ### 18-20-Cop ### 380 Pate ### 380 P	eal 28 USC 158 idrawal SC 157 RTY RIGHTS yrights int omark SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) (C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	400 State R 410 Antitrus 430 Banks 450 Comm 460 Deput 470 Racket Corrup 480 Consus 490 Cables 810 Selecti 810 Selecti 875 Custom 875 Custom 891 Agricu 892 Econor 893 Enviro 894 Energy 895 Freedo Act 900 Appeal	eapportions st and Bankin erce attion eer Influent t Organizat ner Credit Sat TV ve Service ies/Commo ge ter Challen 7 3410 Statutory An ltural Acts nic Stabiliz mmental M Allocation m of Inform of Fee Dete ge utionality o	ment ged and cod and cons diffes/ ge ctions cation Act latters i Act nation emination
SR 1 Original □ 2 R	tate Court	Appellate Court	Reop	ened in 3. ar	other	rred from district	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation	rict 🛛 7	Appeal to ludge from Magistrate ludgment	n e
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of car	ute under which you ar Ue, et seq. use: inatory hiring pra		20 not cite jurisdic	tional	statutes u	nless diversity):			13
VIL REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		S A CLASS ACTION		MAND S			HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complain	nt:
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	(See ingly (ctions):	JUDGE				DOCKE	T NUMBER			
J-12-C	9	SIGNATURE FAT	TORNEY	F RECORD	4	m				
	MOUNT	APPLYING:IFP		HIDG	E		MAG IIII	nge.		